An explanation of low energy nuclear reactions (cold fusion)

Edmund Storms

KiveLabs. Santa Fe, NM

ABSTRACT

A plausible nuclear-active-environment in which LENR occurs is identified by ruling out various possibilities and by identifying an environment that is common to all successful methods. When this environment is combined with a plausible mechanism, many testable predictions result. These insights and proposals are offered to help clarify understanding of LENR and to suggest future studies. The common environment in which LENR occurs is proposed to be cracks of a critical size, followed by a resonance process that dissipates energy by X-ray emission.

I. INTRODUCTION

Twenty-three years have now passed since Fleischmann and Pons (F-P) announced discovery of "cold fusion", aka Low Energy Nuclear Reaction (LENR)[1]. This phenomenon has two unique behaviors. Significant energy along with several nuclear products is produced in what appears to be ordinary materials where no nuclear reaction should be possible. And, unlike other nuclear reactions, release of the resulting energy does not cause emission of energetic radiation. When radiation is detected, it has relatively low energy and intensity. The initial rejection of the claim has been shown to be unwarranted by hundreds of replications using several different methods.[2] These studies show various nuclear reactions are being initiated in solids without energy being applied, such as is required to cause hot fusion. Many books summarize the history of this discovery[3-9], and several websites¹ provide up to date information.

Rejection of the claim made by F-P is based on two common beliefs – a fusion reaction cannot be initiated in ordinary materials without application of high energy, which is not used, and the nuclear produces expected when high energy is applied are not observed. This paper proposes to identify an environment in which a fusion reaction can be initiated without extra energy being required and a mechanism for dissipating the resulting nuclear energy. The location of the reactions within a material, how the process is expected to behave, and the general type of mechanism leading to the fusion reactions are identified. The goal is to find a more productive approach to uniting and explaining the discordant observations.

The approach used here assumes a novel structure must form in the material before LENR is possible. The mechanism that causes the nuclear reactions occurs only within this structure, which is referred to as the "nuclear active environment" (NAE). Once this environment forms and is populated by any of the hydrogen isotopes, a fusion reaction follows. The challenge is to identify the NAE and create as much of it as possible. Identification can be accomplished by searching for a universal condition present during all successful production of LENR regardless of the method used or chemical system. Once the NAE is located, identifying the nuclear-related mechanism would be easier. Many other efforts to understand LENR have been less successful because they reversed this process by searching for the mechanism within normal material, such as in PdD, while ignoring a unique NAE.

¹ See: <u>www.LENR.org</u> or www.coldfusionnow.org

Several other assumptions are made. First, all LENR reactions are assumed to result from the same NAE and from the same basic mechanism even though different nuclear products would be expected. Second, the NAE is assumed to be chemically and energetically independent of the normal chemical lattice in which it forms. While the LENR phenomenon may require new ideas, these must not conflict with what is known about the solid materials in which the reactions are detected. Justification for these assumptions is one goal of the paper. But first the concept of NAE must be understood.

II. DISCUSSION

II.1 Nuclear Active Environment

The concept applies to an environment or location in which the mechanism leading to the nuclear reactions must operate. Consequently, it must form before the mechanism can start and it will limit which proposed mechanisms are plausible. Understanding and accepting this requirement are basic to what follows. Justification for this assumption is provided later in the paper.

Identification of the NAE can start by finding a single condition that is present during all successful LENR studies. Requiring consistency with what is known about basic chemical behavior can further limit the nature of this condition. At the very least, the nuclear mechanism must be compatible with the chemical behavior so as not to cause chemical or physical changes known not to occur. In other words, the nuclear mechanism cannot operate in isolation without regard to the chemical nature of the environment in which it operates or to the Laws of Thermodynamics. Requiring the NAE and the nuclear mechanism to work together can further refine the process. To do this, the NAE must have a structure that allows the energy involved in the nuclear process to operate independently of the surrounding atoms without the limitations normal chemistry applies to energy flow and local accumulation. Application of quantum mechanical models does not avoid this requirement.

For the sake of this discussion, LENR is proposed to involve three main events. NAE forms as the first event, the second event involves hydrogen atoms entering the NAE, and finally these atoms interact during the third event to cause a nuclear reaction. Each of these events can be described as a separate and independent process, starting with formation of the NAE. Only the most general aspects of these events are outlined here, with more detail to follow.

No matter how the NAE is formed or its final configuration, the formation process is expected to follow conventional chemical behavior and obey accepted laws governing such processes because it forms in a chemical environment. A reduction in Gibbs energy must occur and the process that causes such reduction needs to be identified. Typical initiators of a chemical change involve a change in composition, a change in temperature, or accumulation of stress. In addition, the process of forming the NAE is probably endothermic. This basic structure is expected to be always present when LENR is detected, regardless of the method or the materials used. Obviously, a limit exists to how much NAE can form. Without a limit, power production would increase until the material is destroyed, which is not the case. A reason for this limit must be made part of a proposed process.

The second event, which involves insertion of hydrogen into the NAE, must also result in reduction of Gibbs Energy and the rate of insertion will be controlled by activation energy². This approach allows behavior to be predicted by applying the Laws of Thermodynamics rather than using quantum theory to describe how individual atoms might behave. In other words, focus is on the collective behavior, as is typically used in chemistry, rather than on the quantum behavior of individual atoms, as favored by physics.

The third process, involving the actual nuclear reaction, is required to be exothermic and to result in nuclear products known to occur. The details will be discussed later in the paper.

Let's start by applying these basic requirements after the NAE has been created. Three major variables are expected to determine the amount of generated power.

- 1. The number of sites where nuclear reactions can occur, i.e. concentration of NAE. Obviously, the greater the number of active sites, the more power is produced.
- 2. The concentration of H or D in the NAE, which is related to the applied H_2 or D_2 pressure when gas is used or chemical activity when electrolysis is used. The greater the concentration of hydrogen isotopes, the more power is produced.
- 3. The energy available at the NAE, which is normally provided by temperature. Temperature is expected to have an exponential effect within a limited range, typical of its effects on ordinary chemical reactions. This energy also can be applied using lasers or other sources. The more power applied to the sites, the greater the rate of fusion at each site and the more power is produced.

Different combinations of these variables affect each method differently. For example, the electrolytic method suffers from relatively low temperatures while benefiting from a high concentration of deuterium. In contrast, the gas loading method has low hydrogen concentration while being able to benefit from high temperatures. Both have unknown and highly variable NAE concentrations. The best way to increase the amount of energy would be to maximize all three variables. Consequently, LENR does not necessarily require a high concentration of H or D to function, as is frequently assumed. In addition, the variables interact. For example, increase in temperature will lower the concentration of hydrogen, all else remaining constant, causing power to decrease when the temperature exceeds a critical value.

Once the NAE forms, the subsequent mechanism operating at the nuclear level may be very unusual, but the researcher has no influence over this reaction. It occurs spontaneously and automatically. Trying to influence the nuclear process would be like lighting the fuse (creating the NAE) and then trying to influence the rate of the resulting explosion. The amount of energy being created locally is simply too large to control once the process starts. Consequently, knowing how this mechanism functions is not important

² Conventional chemistry uses the relationship ($k = A^*e^{-\Delta E/RT}$). This could be applied to LENR if "k", is related to power produced by the nuclear reaction, (T) is the temperature in the NAE, and (ΔE) is the activation energy for forming the NAE or for making H+ (D+) available to the NAE. This assumes an energy barrier exists in all processes that precede the nuclear reaction. If several kinds of nuclear reactions occur at the same time, each could be described by independent equations having the same form, but different activation energies. For example, failure to detect tritium while helium is produced would result partly because the tritium producing reaction would have greater activation energy, hence a lower rate, for the local conditions.

to making the LENR more intense or reliable. Nevertheless, this understanding can help identify the NAE, which is important.

How many kinds of NAE-mechanism combinations are expected? Are all the observed nuclear products created by the same combination? These questions are difficult to answer based on present experience because each method producing LENR involves a different gross chemical environment. Nevertheless, a process so rare and unique would be expected to involve only a few different combinations. Some observations give hints about the basic nature of the NAE and where it is located, starting with the Fleischmann-Pons Effect.

1. Almost complete loss of helium to the gas – shows that helium is produced within a few microns of the surface.[10]

2. Appearance of tritium in the electrolyte rather than in evolving gas – shows that tritium is produced close enough to the surface to permit exchange with the arriving D+ ions. [11]

3. Transmutation products located only in the surface region – shows that some of the reaction occurs at the surface.[12, 13]

4. Presence of melted regions on the surface – shows that the major energygenerating reaction is concentrated at the surface.

5. Ability to generate LENR using thin layers of palladium on an inert substrate – shows that a large amount of material is not required.[14]

These behaviors point to the surface region as the place to look for the NAE when electrolysis is used, not in the interior of the cathode. What chemical and physical conditions are present in the surface of an electrolytic cathode? Many careful analyses of such surfaces reveal a complex alloy containing lithium, platinum, oxygen, elements provided by the Pyrex container, and impurities in the electrolyte, with sometimes no palladium present at all. This impurity layer appears to be necessary to maintain a high concentration of deuterium[15] and perhaps to create the NAE as well. Measurements of the surface composition place it above $D/Pd=1.5^3$, [16, 17] which is well above the measured and reported average composition.[16] Deuterium is continuously lost from this layer through cracks, causing a steady but non-uniform flux of deuterons within the layer.[18] Further complicating the interpretation, high-resolution SEM examination shows a complicated morphology consisting of dendrites, cracks, well-formed crystal structures with preferred orientation[19, 20], and a very uneven topology[20-24]. Deposition of palladium using the so-called co-deposition process creates even greater complexity[25]. Just where in this tortured landscape the NAE is located is not known, although it must have a very small size because all features on such a surface are very small. The observed energy is expected to result from the sum of many active sites, with each site being independent of the others. This kind of behavior can be seen clearly in the IR images taken by Szpak et al. [26, 27] showing random flashes of light as a result of sudden local heating-cooling cycles in random locations. Fogging of photographic film placed against active material shows that tritium is also produced only in random

³ The fcc lattice of PdD does not exceed D/Pd=1. Compositions in excess of this limit suggest formation of a crystal structure (a new phase) that can accommodate the extra D, similar to formation of ZrH_2 following ZrH in the Zr-H₂ system.

locations very near the surface. Mosier-Boss *et al.*[27] found this effect after electroplating Pd from D_2O , and Sankaranarayanan *et al.*[28] observed the same behavior by loading and deloading Ni wire with H₂. Other studies have produced tritium and detected it using autoradiography, but we cannot be sure LENR is the sole cause when discharge voltages great enough to cause hot fusion[29, 30] are used. From these observations we can conclude that the NAE has a very small size and it is not informally distributed within a complex surface. Presumably, the observed melting can occur where concentration of NAE is especially great. In summary, these observations show that the NAE exists only near the surface, it is not pure PdD, and the measured D/Pd ratio is too large to result from beta-PdD alone. Therefore, a model must be rejected when it is based on the NAE being in the bulk of the sample and on pure PdD having the measured average composition, conditions now known not to support LENR.

Arata[31] and Case[32] focused attention on the importance of small particle size. This idea was carried to extreme by attempting to place a few atoms of Pd in the small atomic cage present in Zeolite,[33] but without obvious success. Arata[34, 35] proposed creating nanoparticles of Pd by oxidizing an alloy of Pd+Zr. The dilute Pd-Zr alloy that remains in the final matrix of ZrO₂ appears to produce a small amount of LENR[36, 37], but not enough to identify the small size of the metal particles as being the only important condition. The material Case[32] used, which is a typical chemical catalyst consisting of nanoparticles of Pd on charcoal, does not work unless special carbon is used and the material is given special treatment. My many attempts to apply finely divided Pd to various materials, including carbon, failed to produce predictable LENR. Obviously, the size of the Pd particles is not the only important variable using this method. After all, any attempt to form nanosized particles will usually create a wide range of sizes, some of which will cause LENR and detectable energy if size were the only important condition. While small size is beneficial, probably because of increased surface area, the total experience shows this is not the only important condition.

Simply causing deuterium to diffuse through palladium or other materials apparently can initiate LENR reactions at a low level. Iwamura *et al.*[38] diffused deuterium through alternate layers of calcium oxide (CaO) and Pd. The presence of CaO was necessary for transmutation to occur on the surface where various elements were deposited. The behavior during this study reveals an even more complex process because the CaO layers were 400Å from the surface where transmutation occurred. Did the CaO create a special species that diffused through the Pd without reacting, only to cause the deposited elements on the surface to transmute? Or did the mechanical and electronic stress introduced by the CaO cause a NAE to form at the surface? In contrast, Liu *et al.* [39] and Biberian and Armanet[40] were able to achieve LENR by simple diffusion of D through pure Pd, again using a relatively low deuterium concentration. Possible features these studies might have in common are described later.

What basic conclusions can be accepted so far? Although the answer is incomplete, a few general conclusions can be provisionally accepted and used to evaluate the suggested mechanisms. In the process, a way of looking can be suggested. This is like searching for gold. The first step is to identify the kind of rock in which the gold is likely to occur and then attempt to locate where the rock is buried using geological information.

II.2 Published Explanations

Hundreds of attempts have been made to explain the LENR effect, yet no theory has successfully shown how the effect can be made more reproducible and robust, and no theory has gained acceptance outside of a select group. Nevertheless, a few examples of published explanations will be discussed below to give an understanding of the approaches being explored and their limitations in view of the proposed requirements listed above. Rather than describe each theory, general principles are applied to show how similar approaches can be evaluated.

First, behavior initiated by hot fusion needs to be identified and not used to explain LENR. Because both hot fusion and LENR can occur in the same materials and sometimes at the same time, the results of these two independent reactions need to be separated. Crack formation is known to initiate nuclear reactions in material containing deuterium. This process, called fractofusion,[41-44] creates brief high voltage in the crack that can cause fusion by the hot fusion process with the expected energetic nuclear products. Because neutrons result, they are frequently detected as brief pulses, which must be carefully evaluated before they are attributed to LENR. Another example of potential hot fusion is obtained when solid materials are bombarded by energetic deuterons.[45-47] The resulting hot fusion-like reaction is sensitive to the electron concentration in the material when applied energy is low. This is not an example of LENR because the reaction products are very energetic and are the ones expected to result from conventional hot fusion, not LENR. A clear separation between how LENR and hot fusion are caused to happen must be maintained because entirely different mechanisms are apparently operating.

Two very different assumptions have been applied to the process of creating a theory. One approach assumes a spontaneous nuclear reaction can occur within a normal lattice or on the surface of normal material simply because a proposed mechanism starts to operate, usually because the deuterium concentration reaches a critical level. The other approach, which is encouraged here, assumes a significant and observable change must take place before LENR can occur and this results in a new environment in which the nuclear reactions take place. This unique environment avoids conflicts with how common materials are known to behave and is consistent with just how rare and localized the behavior appears to be.⁴

Ordinary materials cannot be the location of LENR because of how chemical systems are known to behave, which can be understood several different ways. Materials are made up atoms arranged in characteristic crystal structures, the form being determined by the energy of electrons associated with the atoms and the thermal energy experienced by the crystal. These energies cannot be altered without changing the structure or, if increased enough, cause melting.⁵ The electrons do not shift spontaneously

⁴ As an aside, these two different approaches to finding an explanation reveal a basic difference in how different branches of science look at Nature. People trained in physics focus on mechanisms while trained chemists tend to focus on the environment and conditions. The phenomenon of LENR requires a marriage between these two fields of science, which has not yet happened. Rejection of the observations has generally resulted because a mechanism could not be imagined based on currently accepted "theory". Successful understanding will only happen after the conventional mechanisms are abandoned and a new mechanism is applied to the correct kind of material.

⁵ As an example of how a typical material would behave, palladium will melt if more than 0.17 eV is applied to each palladium atom. This amount of local energy is not enough to cause a nuclear reaction.

from one energy level to another in any observable way in a stable chemical system unless energy is applied from outside the structure or changed conditions make the present structure unstable. In addition, the atoms act as a collective so that when any change is made in one location, this change is communicated to all parts of the crystal at well-understood rates. The Laws of Thermodynamics describe this collective behavior. Metals such as palladium or nickel and compounds such as PdD are all stable chemical systems under the conditions normally used. Even if a spontaneous change were to take place because of unexpected release of internal energy, the limited magnitude is known not to cause nuclear reactions.⁶ This understanding is so basic, it cannot be ignored without clearly stated and demonstrated reasons. Indeed, the role of energy in materials is so important and well understood that arguments based on quantum mechanics or any proposed increase in energy must be consistent with this behavior.

A list of proposed requirements a theory must satisfy are summarized below.

- 1. LENR does not happen in pure beta-PdD, but requires formation of a unique condition (NAE) before LENR can occur. Regardless of the condition, a high deuterium or hydrogen concentration is not the only requirement.
- 2. The mechanism causing the nuclear interaction occurs only in the NAE and is logically related to the nature of the NAE.
- 3. This NAE-mechanism combination must be consistent with what is known about the behavior of materials, the Laws of Thermodynamics, and basic rules governing nuclear interaction.
- 4. The NAE-mechanism combination must explain all observed products of LENR, including results of fusion, transmutation, and the source of radiation.
- 5. The explanation must logically explain both how LENR is initiated and how the resulting energy is dissipated without apparent radiation.

These proposed requirements are applied next to a few published explanations as examples of how they can be used to guide a search. Partial or incomplete explanations are useful, but only if they do not violate these proposed requirements. Use of a large number of unsupported assumptions also reduces the value.

II.3 Role of metal atom vacancies

Hagelstein and Chaudhary[48] propose vacancies in the palladium sub-lattice where Pd atoms are missing is the location of the NAE. This approach is based on three assumptions – that a sufficient number of metal atom vacancies are present in PdD, that more than two deuterons can enter such a site in a PdD lattice, and that once there, the deuterons can fuse to form helium. Failure of any one of the three assumptions would invalidate the model. We start by examining each assumption separately. Flanagan *et*

Any energy accumulating process operating within a lattice of Pd atoms would cease once the local amount had reached this level because melting would commence. As a result, energy would be absorbed from the proposed energy-accumulating process and the region in which this mechanism operates would be destroyed.

⁶ An exception is found in the fractofusion process. In this case, rapid separation between atoms, resulting in a crack, creates a large voltage difference within the space that can ionize and accelerate any deuterons present to energies sufficient to cause hot fusion. This event is normally detected as a burst of neutrons.

al.[49] found that metal atom vacancies introduced by cold-working palladium were removed by heating between 473K and 573K, which would eliminate such vacancies at temperatures known to produce LENR. If metal atom vacancies are present in large concentrations in PdD, the upper phase boundary is expected to exceed D/Pd = 1. McKubre and Tanzella[50] determined this boundary at room temperature using the behavior of resistively. They found a break in slope between resistively and D/Pd to occur very close to a value of 1, indicating formation of a two-phase region at higher compositions, not continuation of the beta phase. Norlander et al.[51] conclude, based on calculations, as many 6 D can occupy a Pd vacancy. But, even at this number, they conclude the deuterons are not close enough to fuse. The more atoms needed to be assembled in one place for a reaction to occur, the lower would be the probability of this happening. Therefore, the reaction rate would be small and the rate would be very sensitive to the applied D₂ pressure. The observations do not show a large pressure effect. but this variable needs to be studied more carefully. If fusion were to occur, another set of assumptions have to be used to explain absence of radiation. Consequently, violation of requirements #1, #4 and #5 do not encourage this approach to an explanation.

II.4 Role of neutrons

Obviously, if neutrons were involved in the nuclear mechanism, the Coulomb barrier would not be an issue. Therefore, several people have proposed a source of potentially reactive neutrons. These neutrons are thought to be initially present in the material as stable clusters[52] or as stable polyneutrons[53], which are released from this stable condition by some mechanism. No direct evidence exists for trapped neutrons, neither as clusters nor as polyneutrons, being present or released in ordinary material. Indeed, if enough neutrons were present to support the large reaction rates being occasionally observed, their presence would be obvious from their effect on the density of such repositories, which has not been found. Also, just how neutrons or polyneutrons would remain in the palladium or any material after chemical purification requires implausible assumptions. Although a few observations are consistent with a rare and low-level nuclear process being caused by what might be polyneutrons, [54] the explanation does not identify the NAE and violates requirements #4 and #5.

On the other hand, neutrons have been proposed to form[55-60] by fusion of an electron with a proton or deuteron, which requires about 0.76 MeV to be present at the time and place of the reaction. Because this explanation of LENR has gotten wide attention, it needs to be fully understood. The idea is flawed because it assumes enough energy to form a neutron can be concentrated in a chemical environment at one location. Energy is a real and basic quantity that is not observed to accumulate spontaneously beyond well-understood limits.⁷ If such large energy were to concentrate in an electron or the target nucleus, it would have to be harvested from an environment in which the average energy is much less than 0.1 eV. Consequently, packets of energy would have to spontaneously seek out and add to individual electrons in which the accumulating energy must be stored. How is this storage accomplished? The electron is a fundamental particle that cannot store energy. If it could, its rest mass would not be constant and at the very least the TV would not work. Perhaps energy can be stored by another process. Particles

⁷ Such an abnormal energy distribution conflicts with the well-known Boltzmann distribution and the Second Law of Thermodynamics

are known to store energy as increased mass if enough energy is added to accelerate them to near the speed of light. Traveling at this speed in a lattice with many electrons and nuclei in the way would seem implausible⁸. So, we are asked to imagine an electron being able to pass through a collection of atoms at near the speed of light while accumulating energy from the surrounding energy fields without losing this energy before a hydrogen nucleus is encountered. Calling this a weak interaction, introducing the concept of plasmons, or proposing a super-heavy electron provides no justification for or insight about how the process can actually work. Unless this process can be shown to actually occur, rather than assumed to be possible, formation of a neutron is not a plausible explanation for LENR. Indeed, when electrons are given the required energy and used to bombard a material containing p or d, very few, if any, neutrons are detected.[61] In addition, if so much energy could be concentrated in an electron, observed chemical effects would be expected long before the electron could make a neutron. For example, no explosive would be stable once this process started. Furthermore, if neutrons were present from any source, radiation from their own normal beta decay and gamma resulting from their interaction with various nuclei would be expected, but is not detected. In addition, the various nuclear products cannot be explained only by neutron interaction because this reaction produces a new isotope, not a new element. Subsequent radioactive decay is required to produce the observed elements, which is not observed. Consequently, even though this mechanism has gained some attention, it is not consistent with how LENR behaves or with conventional science. This explanation does not identify the NAE and violates #3, #4, and #5.

On the other hand, many people have speculated about what would happen if the electron could get sufficiently close to the nucleus to form what they call a "virtual neutron". In this way, the electron might provide enough shielding for the proton or deuteron to enter a nucleus without the impossible task of creating a real neutron. Mills[62] provides a theoretical basis for allowing the electron to closely approach the nucleus, with the formation of the so-called Hydrino. Dufour *et al.*[63, 64] proposed a structure called a Hydrex where many electrons and photons cluster together in a stable collection that might lower the Coulomb barrier. The formation of Rydberg or BEC structures could be viewed as variations on this approach. Such variations on a pseudo neutron-like structures to form and be involved in LENR has not been identified, but will be explored below. Nevertheless, the explanation violates #4 and #5.

II.5 Role of phonons

A phonon is a pseudo-particle used to describe how energy is transferred between or interacts with atoms or electrons in condensed matter. The model is used to describe how heat energy moves through a material as vibrations of atoms and electrons located within a material. When the concept is applied to LENR, these vibrations are proposed to cause a few atoms to approach one another within nuclear reaction (strong force) distance[65-68] or to cause energy to accumulate within a nucleus[69] so that the nucleus

⁸ Electrons of this energy have a very small range in palladium because their energy is lost to the surrounding material by generating X-rays.[271] This means the mysterious transfer of energy must take place at an impossibly fast rate and a proton must be found within a small fraction of a micron after this energy has been acquired, all without generating X-rays that are not observed.

becomes unstable. In the process, a basic assumption is made – the vibration energy is focused on one nucleus and does not affect the general chemical bonds between the surrounding atoms in PdD. This assumption is in basic conflict with how phonons are observed to behave and the requirement that energy always moves "down hill". Indeed, phonons are proposed to provide this "down hill" transfer of energy once a nuclear reaction occurs as the released energy is communicated to the surrounding atoms. Use of this concept must show a plausible reason why phonons can produce an "up hill" transfer of energy without causing chemical changes, followed by the expected "down hill" transfer after the nuclear reaction is initiated. As a result, the explanation violates all of the proposed requirements.

II.6 Role of particle-wave conversion

The Chubbs[70] proposed that a deuteron can convert to a wave under proper conditions. As such, it can interact with another deuteron wave without a Coulomb barrier being directly involved. This interaction briefly forms a helium wave, which slowly converts to a helium particle by losing small quanta of energy to the surrounding lattice. This model solves a few problems, but it does not account for how transmutation products are produced or what unique property of the lattice encourages particle-wave conversion. Simply having a periodic array of atoms, as they propose, is not sufficient because this universal condition exists in all materials, while nuclear reactions are rare and localized in special regions. Although this general approach could be applied to a special NAE, as is described below, the concept violates all of the proposed requirements when described by the authors.

II.7 Role of "Strange" particles

Explanations based on rare particles have been proposed. These include the Erzion[71] the NATTOH[72], fractionally charged particle[73], massive negative particle[74], electron cluster[75], and super-heavy nucleus[53]. While some of these particles obviously exist in Nature, how each might cause the full range of behaviors produced by LENR has not been explained.

II.8 Role of tunneling or enhanced cross-section

The process called "tunneling" is used when a reaction appears to require an abnormally small amount of energy compared to an expected amount. Of course, this idea assumes the full (expected) amount is known accurately for the conditions being used. Instead of using the tunneling metaphor, an unexpectedly large rate is sometimes described as resulting from an increase in cross-section. In either case, the expected energy or cross-section needs to be justified, not just why less energy is apparently required. For example, expected behavior for LENR under various conditions is based on a model obtained using the hot fusion process. The rate of the hot fusion-type reaction is measured as a function of applied energy, from which the expected barrier height is calculated. Application of this barrier height to LENR would only be valid if LENR were caused by the same mechanism, which is very unlikely to be the case. In addition, requirements #2, #3, #4 and #5 are violated. Nevertheless, early theoreticians including Preparata[76] used this incomplete description and this approach was favored by Fleischmann.[77] Many other people also have proposed the same idea.[78-83]

A source of screening electrons has been suggested to exist between two materials having different work functions, the so-called swimming electron theory[84-86]. These electrons are proposed to reduce the Coulomb barrier and explain the transmutation observations reported by Miley[87, 88]. Unfortunately, this theory ignores how the required number of protons can enter the available nuclei in the sample without producing radioactive isotopes, which are seldom detected. Miley *et al.*[89] try to avoid this problem by creating another problem. Their mechanism involves formation of a super-nucleus of $^{306}X_{126}$ from a large cluster of H and D. This structure then experiences various fission reactions. The cluster is proposed to result as local islands of ultra dense hydrogen[90] form by Rydberg-like processes[91] Why so many deuterons would spontaneously form a cluster in a lattice in apparent violation of the Laws of Thermodynamics has not been explained, which violates #3. Why #5 is not violated is not explained.

II.9 Role of multi-body fusion and Bose-Einstein Condensates

Multi-body fusion was first suggested by Takahashi *et al.*[92] who arrived at this model using the energy spectrum of the few neutrons being emitted from an electrolytic cell while anomalous energy was detected. A later study using energetic D+ bombardment of PdD is consistent with the neutron spectrum obtained using electrolysis[93], which suggest the neutrons might have actually resulted from a hot fusion-type reaction, as would be expected to result from the ion bombardment method. On the other hand, Iwamura *et al.*[94] show evidence for up to six deuterons entering a nucleus simultaneously when no extra energy is present, adding additional support to a multi-body model. Formation of such clusters[95] solves many problems, not the least of which is a method to release momentum after fusion without emitting a gamma ray. In this case, the resulting nuclear energy is distributed between a few alpha particles and many un-reacted deuterons in the cluster. However, unless the clusters were improbably large, energetic emissions would be detected, [96] which has not been the case. Requirements #4 and #5 are apparently violated.

Kim[97, 98] justified cluster formation by calling it a Bose-Einstein Condensate (BEC)[99, 100], which would be the NAE. He proposes this type of structure is able to form on the surface of very small particles of PdD. Typically, BEC is only observed near absolute zero because the bonding energy is too small for the structure to survive higher temperatures. Formation of a BEC at the temperatures used to initiate LENR would be an amazing event even without LENR being a consequence. Nevertheless, Kim proposes special conditions exist in a lattice to stabilize a BEC. Even if BEC could initiate fusion, explaining how the resulting energy is dissipation into the lattice without detected radiation requires many assumptions, resulting in violation of #5.

II.10 Theory of Rossi and Piantelli

Piantelli *et al.*,[101-111] in a series of papers, has described how energy, radiation, and transmutation result when Ni-Cr and Ni tubes are exposed to H_2 after extensive pretreatment. The process is proposed to involve clusters of nickel on the surface that interact with H⁻ ions dissolved in the metal to cause conversion of nickel to copper when the metal is heated above 350° C. The authors suggest a mechanism and justification for this reaction.

Rossi [112] increased the amount of power over that obtained by Piantelli *et al.* by using specially treated nickel powder and proposed the same source of energy. Furthermore, Rossi[113] believes that positrons result from decay of the resulting radioactive copper isotopes and these generate annihilation radiation of 511 keV that is absorbed in a lead shield, thereby heating the apparatus. No evidence supports this implausible claim. Instead, Piantelli reports detecting gamma emission at 744 keV.

The descriptions of the process offered by Piantelli or Rossi cannot be considered a theory. The proposed process is not consistent with what is observed nor is it plausible based on the listed requirements. Transmutation cannot be a source of significant energy even if the significant Coulomb barrier could be overcome. Once a Ni nuclei has been transmuted, energy production can only continue at that site if another H or D is added to the fixed target. Such a process will eventually produce radioactive isotopes, yet these are not found. Furthermore, the amount of energy released by each transmutation reaction is small, requiring a large reaction rate to account for the measured energy⁹ as well as many active sites. Explaining how so many sites can form in ordinary material is a challenge not met so far. Nevertheless, both workers apparently have been successful in creating enough NAE sites to make significant energy using ordinary hydrogen.

II.11 A Proposed Explanation of LENR

In the previous sections, the requirements a theory must satisfy, the behaviors in need of explanation, and the flaws in a few explanations are discussed. The challenge now is to propose a model consistent with these requirements and conditions. The general features of a plausible NAE will be used to start the search. The goal is to eliminate most environments by applying these requirements and focus on the few that remain. Environments surviving this process can then be tested against proposed mechanisms to determine which combination is consistent with the most observations. The intent is to use as few assumptions as possible.

Four different kinds of NAE have been proposed.

- (1) A normal arrangement of atoms and electrons that create a crystal structure, socalled bulk atoms. Cubic PdD, either pure or impure, is an example of such an environment. This violates requirement #1.
- (2) A novel arrangement of atoms not normally present. The BEC structure, Rydberg matter[114, 115], nanostructures[116-118], diamondoid or Zeolite molecules[119, 120], cracks,[121-123] or carbon nanotubes[124] are variations of this condition. In addition, the NAE might also be where Hydrinos[125] can form because the required catalyst is uniquely present. No requirements are violated if sufficient assumption are used.
- (3) An interface between two different structures having different energy or electron concentrations. Examples are two different crystal structures in contact at a surface. This includes contact between two different phases, including contact

⁹ The reaction $Ni^{62}+p = Cu^{63}$ produces 5.6 MeV/event. For 1 kW of power to be generated, this reaction must occur at 10^{15} times/sec or produce 8 mg/day of copper. This would result in 15 g of copper after 6 months while producing 10 kW, which would represent a significant and impossible fraction of nickel powder being transmuted during the proposed lifetime of the e-Cat.

between a gas and a solid or contact between a liquid and a solid. This condition violates requirement #3 and perhaps #4 and #5 unless assumptions are applied.

(4) Absence of material, such as cracks, gaps, or voids within structures.[122, 126-128] Carbon nanotubes or stress cracks in solids would be plausible examples. The dimensions and shape of such a structure would determine its behavior. This condition violates no requirement as explained below.

Involvement of particles having nanosized dimensions has been proposed but this is not considered to be a unique environment in this discussion. Such nanoparticles, at least at the size being used for LENR, differ from ordinary material only because they have a high surface area, which would create a large interface and place them in category (3). If the size is really small (submicron), they no longer act like ordinary material and would fall in category (2). Because of rapid sintering, nanoparticles do not remain in a material for long once the temperature in increased much above 150° C.

Most metals form cracks of various sizes when they react with hydrogen as stress is generated by increased lattice size and is then relieved. The number of cracks has a limit determined by the treatment and material, thereby creating a natural limit to the amount of NAE. A crack or void has the potential to act as the site for a resonance process without being limited by the nature of a chemical lattice.

II.12 Proposed Process Causing LENR

Having identified a plausible NAE, what mechanism causes the nuclear reaction? For a search to be successful, it must follow a series of perhaps ambiguous clues in the correct logical order. The first clue in this search involves tritium and how it can form. Because it apparently forms in the same region of the material as does helium and no detectable radiation typical of hot fusion is produced, tritium and helium can be assumed to result from the same general mechanism and NAE. Ruling out the hot fusion process eliminates direct D+D fusion. What other reaction might be the source? Tritium seems to be produced when both H and D[129] are present, but simple fusion would give He³, as first proposed in 1990 by Schwinger[130]. This reaction can be ruled out because the amount of detected He³ is only consistent with that expected to result from decay of tritium, which means tritium is formed before He³. Tritium could form if an electron is absorbed into the fusion reaction and is subsequently ejected by normal beta decay. Let's see if this process has a plausible general application.

If electron absorption is universal regardless of the isotopes involved, then the reactions listed in Table 1 can be predicted. In every case, except for tritium and deuterium, ejection of the electron from the product nucleus would be too rapid to measure. In addition, like tritium, the energy of this beta would be too low to allow easy detection. In fact, were it not for the slow rate of tritium decay, this proposed addition of an electron could not be observed and might be ignored. By accepting this clue, a general pattern for the fusion reaction can be suggested to make many observations much easier to understand. In addition, a mechanism can be proposed that would add this electron by a plausible process during the fusion reaction.

As discussed previously, direct formation of a neutron is not possible because the required energy is absent. In contrast, enough energy is available to form a neutron as the fusion process occurs. Consequently, the sequence of the required neutron-formation

process is important and can be justified by having it combin with a fusion reaction. Nevertheless, the neutron is stable in deuterium and only slightly stable in tritium. The neutron in the other product nuclei listed in Table 1 quickly decays. In other words, the process can be viewed as forming a neutron within the product nucleus as fusion occurs, followed by the normal decay process typical of the resulting nucleus. This possibility can be explored by looking for a relationship between the rate of tritium formation and the H/D ratio in the material. Tritium should show a maximum when this ratio is equal to 1, provided the conditions support LENR at all.

TABLE 1

Predicted nuclear	reactions involving isotopes of hydrogen
$D+D+e=H^4=He^4+e$	Q=<23.8 MeV
$D+H+e=T=He^{3}+e(18.6keV)$	$Q = <4.9 \text{ MeV} (T = \text{tritium} = \text{H}^3)$
H+H+e=D	Q=1.4 MeV
$D+T+e=H^5=He^4+n+e$	Q=<18.1 MeV
$H+T+e=H^4=He^4+e$	Q=<20.4 MeV

Before going to the next clue, let's see if the proposed process helps explain any observed behavior. First, the presence of a few neutrons when tritium is produced now makes sense. As tritium accumulates, T+D+e fusion can occur, resulting in the very small but variable flux of neutrons. Support for this reaction is given by Mosier-Boss et al.[131] using CR-39 detectors in which neutrons having the energy expected from D+T fusion were found in small numbers. Second, the expected energy from each reaction (Q) can be used to explain other behavior.¹⁰ Note that energy from the H+H+e fusion reaction is much smaller than from the D+D+e reaction. Consequently, the former reaction would require many more NAE sites to achieve the same amount of detected power compared to when deuterium is used, thereby making the D+D+e reaction easier to detect. Consequently, failure to detect heat when hydrogen is used as a null test means only that too little NAE was present to make detectable energy when only H was present. In fact, the claims for extra power made by Fleischmann and Pons during their null tests using H₂O can be understood to mean enough NAE was present to produce detectable heat from the weak H+H+e reaction. Consequently, light water would apparently act as a poison simply by reducing the amount of power compared to when D_2O is used, not by stopping LENR altogether. Third, the weak beta emission could produce the occasionally reported low-level Bremsstrahlung from cells containing D₂O[132]. This one clue based on tritium opens a window onto a broad view of the process and allows other clues to be seen.

What kind of mechanism and NAE would be consistent with observed behavior? The hot fusion process, as well as muon fusion, rely the nuclei getting close enough to

¹⁰ The effect of neutrino formation when an electron is added and ejected is ignored. Nevertheless, this would reduce the amount of measured energy by some unknown amount because energy added to the neutrino would not be converted to measured heat. The behavior of tritium decay can be used to estimate the fraction carried by the neutrino when the neutron decomposes. The energy expected is 529 kev while the beta is measured to have 18.6 keV, which means only 3.5% of the expected energy can be recovered from the final decay process. However, most of the energy results from initial formation of the unstable nucleus, which is converted to heat during the initial fusion process. As a result, the values listed in Table 1 are, in most cases, upper limits.

engage the strong force. The resulting sudden release of energy naturally causes emission of energetic particles, which are not produced by LENR. Therefore, a different mechanism must be operating. To avoid detectable emission, the energy released by the nuclear reaction must either involve many emitted atoms, with each carrying a small fraction of the energy or a slow release of photons (X-Rays) by a resonance process. The former possibility requires a very large collection of atoms, all connected energetically, which is described by the BEC concept (see section II.9). The latter process can be imagined to involve nuclei of hydrogen (Table 1) with a shielding electron between each. This assembly is proposed to vibrate (resonate), causing a steady emission of X-rays as mass is converted to energy and the two nuclei get closer together. Obviously, the relationship between the nuclei and the intervening electron is not conventional. Sinha and Meulenberg[133] proposed a structure, called a Lochon, that might apply. Kim and Ward[134] propose a resonance process between deuterons in a BEC when it forms on the surface of a nanoparticle of PdD. A crack or nanotube might support a resonance process, but in this case along its axis.

What evidence can be offered to suggest cracks are actually present? First, can cracks form in the materials being used? PdD is well known to form cracks[135, 136]. Titanium, another successful metal, cracks readily when it reacts with hydrogen. When this metal is used as the cathode during electrolysis of D₂O, extra energy and transmutation are reported [137-141]. In addition, neutrons [142-144] are emitted when it is temperature cycled in D_2 , further indicating active crack formation, but not necessarily producing LENR. Nickel does not form cracks easily when exposed to hydrogen, but thermal or pressure cycling in hydrogen[101, 102] is expected to produce some cracks in the surface. The oxides that produce LENR by electromigration[145-147] all have the Perovskite crystal structure. This structure is susceptible to distortions as a result of small changes in component atom concentrations that could cause local cracks. The slight flow of hydrogen caused by applied voltage would move hydrogen atoms to these locations. Layers of palladium applied to various materials, as used by Patterson[148] and later by Miley[91, 149], are observed to crack when reacted with hydrogen. Layers containing possible cracks have also been applied to wires by Celani et al.[150]. In this case, a current flow through the wire is found to enhance the process, perhaps by making deuterons more readily available to proposed cracks. Sonofusion[151] would be expected to cause cracks at the site of bubble collapse on a target metal. In addition, to cracks formed as a result of stress, all materials are known to contain imperfections unless efforts are made to remove them. While a large number of active cracks would produce obvious power, the small number in ordinary material might result in detectable amounts of unexpected LENR if enough deuterium ions were available and careful measurements were made. Nanotubes are expected to be more difficult to make, but might be present and occasionally active.

Let's assume the resonance process occurs in a crack in which the nuclei and electrons are forced to have the required relationship. How would this process function? Once this string of nuclei and electrons starts to resonate, the mass of the contained nuclei and the dimensions of the crack structure are expected to determine the frequency of emitted photons. As a result, many photons (X-rays) would be generated as mass converts to energy and the distance between the nuclei approaches that required for the strong force to complete the fusion process. Just before the process is complete, the shielding electron is expected to enter into the final product. After all, this electron would be closely involved in the process whether a particle description is used or instead if a wave description is based on a mathematical structure. A future paper by Brian Scanlan will explore this process in detail. Until then, the predicted nuclear products are summarized in Table 1 and a list of testable predictions is provided in the next section. While some people consider these reactions "improbable" at the present time, more work is required to determine if this opinion is justified.

Other behaviors consistent with cracks being the NAE are observed on occasion, adding support to the idea. Placement of X-ray sensitive film near an active electrolytic cell has shown X-radiation having a very narrow beam width.[152, 153] Gas discharge has also produced similarly tightly focused X-rays that act like a laser.[154, 155] Such behavior requires emission only in favored directions, which requires a special structure. For example, small structures similar to cracks have been observed to produce laser emission.[156] Failure to detect radiation could result when most X-radiation is completely absorbed, or because most beams are pointed away from the detectors. A diffuse source could be produced when many cracks were pointed in random directions. Consequently, laser-like radiation would be rare and only observed when detectors are in the right place or the sources are all pointed in the same direction, which apparently has occasionally happened.

The study by Iwamura et al.[157] provides an opportunity to test the role of cracks in explaining transmutation. As explained previously, these workers deposited CaO+Pd layers on palladium, which was over-coated by 40 nm of palladium, after which various elements were applied to the surface. When deuterium diffused through this sandwich, the deposited nuclei were transmuted by addition of deuterons. Amazingly, only the deposited nuclei experienced transmutation, not the much larger concentration of palladium also present on the surface. In addition, the CaO layer was found to be essential for the process to work. This behavior can be explained if stress-cracks formed in the thin palladium layer between the CaO and the surface where the target element was deposited. After which, the deposited material filled the mouths of the cracks, thereby sealing them and creating a cavity in which deuterons could accumulate and resonate. This resonance process is proposed to release energy and cause deuterons to enter those nuclei located at the end of the cavity, i.e. the deposited target. Only nuclei present at this exact location can be transmuted according to this model. Patterson[148] provided further support when he produced many cracks in layers of Pd and Ni applied to plastic beads. Many transmuted elements were found in these layers by Miley.[149, 158] Obviously, the thickness of the crack would be important because at some width, the normal hydrogen molecule is known to form, which is not able to fuse. The difficulty in causing LENR is proposed be related to formation of a closed crack of exactly the right dimension, after which enough D or H ions are present to fuel the fusion reaction. Naturally, these requirements would be very sensitive to conditions and treatment.

The claim for nuclear reactions being possible in bacteria and other single-cell organisms is a challenge for any explanation.[159] Obviously, the chemical conditions are greatly different from these within inorganic crystals and the mechanisms applied to such crystal structures would not be expected to apply to a living cell. On the other hand, existence of voids created by complex protein molecules can be imagined to form in

which the proposed resonance might take place provided hydrogen ions are available to the void. While this assumption has no evidence as yet, the suggestion can be tested.

No single observation provides a smoking gun. Nevertheless, a total analysis of all observations and patterns leads to one plausible conclusion – cracks or nanotubes of a particular size and shape are the only NAE that does not result in conflict with the known behavior of materials, allows a plausible mechanism to operate, and leads to testable predictions, many of which are consistent with behavior already observed. The puzzle still lacks a clear description of the mechanism operating within the crack. Once a mechanism is found to apply, mathematical analysis can be used to further support the model and generate other predictions.

II.13 Testable Predictions

The model suggests the following testable predictions as a guide to future studies.

- 1. X-ray radiation would be produced at a wavelength determined partly by the length of the crack, it would tend to be emitted in opposite directions among the axis of the crack, and it will have laser-like behavior. Some of this radiation would appear to result from Bremsstrahlung.
- 2. Rate of tritium production would be sensitive to the H/D ratio in the NAE.
- 3. Rate of neutron production would be sensitive to the D/T ratio in the NAE.
- 4. Energy production from normal hydrogen would result first in production of deuterium and then tritium, followed by a small but increasing neutron flux.
- Diffusion of H or D through a material containing suitable NAE could cause detectable LENR because H or D would become available at the NAE. Increased applied pressure of D₂ or H₂ would have the same effect.
- 6. Laser light would increase the rate of LENR as energy is added to the resonance process. Increased temperature would have the same effect, but would not be as localized and would have a counter effect by lowering the available concentration of H or D.
- 7. Transmutation would be experienced by nuclei located at the ends of active cracks or nanotubes.
- 8. LENR using deuterium would gradually slow down as active NAE sites became choked by immobile helium. This lifetime limiting process would be less important when H is used because D and T can move out of the NAE or enter into subsequent fusion reactions without choking the site. Nevertheless, the growing concentration of D will increase the activation energy for resonance, thereby reducing its rate, and produce trapped helium.
- 9. No difference exists between the conditions required to cause fusion involving pure D or pure H. However, many more NAE sites are required to obtain a detectable amount of energy when H is used compared to D.
- 10. A mixture of D and H in a NAE makes LENR more difficult to start (increased activation energy) because the mixture, consisting of different masses, disrupts resonance. Apparent "poisoning" of the reaction is the result. Adding laser energy at the location of the crack can reduce the effect of this disruption.

- 11. A material producing significant power will self-heat and reach a stable temperature determined by how effectively hydrogen can reach the NAE at that temperature. The greater the amount of NAE, the higher this temperature limit will be.
- 12. Any metal or alloy able to catalyze decomposition of the hydrogen molecule into ions will support LENR once the NAE forms.

III. SUMMARY

A model is described that summarizes all reported observations by using only a few assumptions. The LENR process is proposed not to take place in ordinary materials. Instead, a novel and rare structure must form in which the process occurs. This structure is common to all LENR reactions regardless of the reactants, nuclear products, or method used. The general structure is called the Nuclear Active Environment (NAE) and is proposed to take the form of a crack or gap located within a conventional structure.

Fusion reactions involving isotopes of hydrogen are proposed to occur in the same NAE. Deuterium is made by H+H+e fusion, tritium by D+H+e fusion, and helium from D+D+e fusion followed by beta emission, with the relative rates being determined by the relative concentrations of the hydrogen isotopes in the NAE. Occasional neutrons can result from the T+D+e fusion reaction. Heavy nuclei located in the NAE can adsorb up to 6 hydrogen isotope nuclei. The number of active sites in a material, the temperature and/or applied energy, and the concentration of hydrogen isotopes determine the rate of each reaction. Energy is released to the surrounding environment by a resonance process between the hydrogen nuclei and the intervening electrons as many X-ray photons are emitted in a laser-like beam in opposite directions along the axis of the crack. Conditions required to create this resonance are forced on the hydrogen-electron combination by the structure in which they are located. This structure, consisting of cracks or nanotubes, must have a critically small size in the submicron range.

This model is based on observed behavior, not on the physical or mathematical models favored by modern science. While some of the proposed consequences of this behavior might conflict with what conventional science believes is possible, the paper identifies the important evidence required to resolve this conflict. If correct, the model places limits on the kind of mechanism causing the nuclear process, explains why energetic particles are not detected, and shows why such reactions are not "impossible" as conventional science believes. Analysis using mathematical tools will follow if the suggested model is found to be correct.

Acknowledgements

I'm grateful to Brian Scanlan for helping make this analysis possible by his financial support and his insights into how electrons can be related to hydrogen nuclei under specials circumstances. This analysis would not have been possible without his contribution. Abd ul-Rahman Lomax help make the description easier to understand by his repeated challenges to the idea. Carol Storms and Ruby Carat found the usual typos and made many suggestions that helped focus the arguments in the proper direction.

References

- 1. Fleischmann, M., S. Pons, and M. Hawkins, *Electrochemically induced nuclear fusion of deuterium*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1989. **261**: p. 301-308 and errata in Vol. 263, 187-188.
- 2. Storms, E.K., *The science of low energy nuclear reaction*. 2007, Singapore: World Scientific. 312.
- 3. Rothwell, J., *Cold fusion and the future*. 2007: <u>www.LENR.org</u>.
- 4. Sheldon, E., *An overview of almost 20 years' research on cold fusion*. Contemp. Phys., 2009. **49**(5): p. 375.
- 5. Krivit, S.B. and N. Winocur, *The rebirth of cold fusion; Real science, real hope, real energy*. 2004, Los Angeles, CA: Pacific Oaks Press.
- 6. Simon, B., *Undead science: Science studies and the afterlife of cold fusion*. 2002, New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press. 252.
- 7. Close, F., *Too hot to handle. The race for cold fusion*. second ed. 1992, New York: Penguin, paperback.
- 8. Mallove, E., *Fire from ice*. 1991, NY: John Wiley.
- 9. Peat, F.D., *Cold fusion: The making of a scientific controversy*. 1989, Chicago: Contempory Books. 188.
- 10. Camp, W.J., *Helium detrapping and release from metal tritides*. J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 1977. **14**: p. 514-517.
- 11. Storms, E.K. and C. Talcott-Storms, *The effect of hydriding on the physical structure of palladium and on the release of contained tritium*. Fusion Technol., 1991. **20**: p. 246.
- Dash, J., G. Noble, and D. Diman. Changes in surface topography and microcomposition of a palladium cathode caused by electrolysis in acidified light water. in International Symposium on Cold Fusion and Advanced Energy Sources. 1994. Belarusian State University, Minsk, Belarus: Fusion Information Center, Salt Lake City. p. 172.
- 13. Miguet, S. and J. Dash, *Microanalysis of palladium after electrolysis in heavy water*. J. New Energy, 1996. **1**(1): p. 23.
- 14. Storms, E., *Ways to initiate a nuclear reaction in solid environments*. Infinite Energy, 2002. **8**(45): p. 45.
- McKubre, M.C.H., et al. New hydrogen energy research at SRI. in Sixth International Conference on Cold Fusion, Progress in New Hydrogen Energy. 1996. Lake Toya, Hokkaido, Japan: New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. p. 75.
- 16. Tanzella, F.L., et al. Parameters affecting the loading of hydrogen isotopes into palladium cathodes. in Sixth International Conference on Cold Fusion, Progress in New Hydrogen Energy. 1996. Lake Toya, Hokkaido, Japan: New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. p. 171.
- Storms, E.K. Relationship between open-circuit-voltage and heat production in a Pons-Fleischmann cell. in The Seventh International Conference on Cold Fusion. 1998. Vancouver, Canada: ENECO, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT. p. 356.

- McKubre, M.C.H., et al. Concerning reproducibility of excess power production. in 5th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 1995. Monte-Carlo, Monaco: IMRA Europe, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France. p. 17.
- 19. Sarto, F., et al. *The Role of Cathode's Surface Properties in the Electrochemical Deuterium Loading of Pd Foils*. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter

Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 148-153.

- 20. Castagna, E., et al. *Metallurgical characterization of Pd electrodes employed in calorimetric experiments under electrochemical deuterium loading*. in 14th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2008. Washington, DC: <u>www.LENR.org</u>. p. 444-450.
- 21. Dardik, I., et al. *Report on electrolysis experiments at energetics technologies*. in *International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science*, *ICCF-13*. 2007. Sochi, Russia: Tsiolkovsky Moscow Technical University. p. 325.
- 22. Zhang, W.-S. and J. Dash. Excess heat reproducibility and evidence of anomalous elements after electrolysis in $Pd/D_2O+H_2SO_4$ electrolystic cells. in International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, ICCF-13. 2007. Sochi, Russia: Tsiolkovsky Moscow Technical University. p. 202.
- 23. Chicea, D. Comment on carbon production In deuterium-metal systems. in Tenth International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2003. Cambridge, MA: World Scientific Publishing Co. p. 475.
- 24. Chen, S.-K., et al., *The microstructure of electrolytically deuterium-loaded palladium rods*. Fusion Technol., 1996. **29**: p. 302.
- 25. Szpak, S., P.A. Mosier-Boss, and F. Gordon. *Precursors and the fusion reactions in polarized Pd/D-D₂O systems: Effect of an external electric field*. in 11th *International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2004. Marseilles, France: World Scientific Co. p. 359.
- 26. Szpak, S., P.A. Mosier-Boss, and J.J. Smith, *Deuterium uptake during Pd-D codeposition*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1994. **379**: p. 121.
- 27. Mosier-Boss, P.A., et al., *Review of TwentyYears of LENR Research Using Pd/D Co-deposition*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2011. **4**: p. 173-187.
- 28. Sankaranarayanan, T.K., et al. *Evidence for tritium generation in self-heated nickel wires subjected to hydrogen gas absorption/desorption cycles.* in 5th *International Conference on Cold Fusion.* 1995. Monte-Carlo, Monaco: IMRA Europe, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France. p. 173.
- 29. Rout, R.K., et al., *Copious low energy emissions from palladium loaded with hydrogen or deuterium*. Indian J. Technol., 1991. **29**: p. 571.
- 30. Savvatimova, I.B. and A.B. Karabut, *Radioactivity of palladium cathodes after irradiation in a glow discharge*. Poverkhnost (Surface), 1996(1): p. 76 (in Russian).
- 31. Arata, Y. and Y.C. Zhang, *Helium* (⁴*He*, ³*He*) within deuterated Pd-black. Proc. Jpn. Acad., Ser. B, 1997. **73**: p. 1.
- 32. Case, L.C. Catalytic fusion of deuterium into helium-4. in The Seventh International Conference on Cold Fusion. 1998. Vancouver, Canada: ENECO, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT. p. 48.

- Kidwell, D.A., et al. Yes, Virginia there is Heat, but It is Likely of Chemical Origin. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 100-109.
- 34. Arata, Y. and Y.C. Zhang. *Picnonuclear fusion generated in "lattice-reactor" of metallic deuterium lattice within metal atom-clusters. II Nuclear fusion reacted inside a metal by intense sonoimplantion effect.* in *The 9th International Conference on Cold Fusion, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science.* 2002. Tsinghua Univ., Beijing, China: Tsinghua Univ. Press. p. 5.
- 35. Arata, Y., Y.C. Zhang, and X.F. Wang. *Production of Helium and Energy in the "Solid Fusion"*. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 72-81.
- 36. Yamaura, S., et al. *Hydrogen absorption of nanoscale Pd particles embedded in ZrO2 matrix prepared from Zr–Pd amorphous alloys*. in *14th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science*. 2008. Washington, DC: <u>www.LENR.org</u>. p.
- 37. Takahashi, A., et al. Anomalous Heat Generation in Charging of Pd Powders with High Density Hydrogen Isotopes, (II) Discussions on Experimental Results and Underlying Physics. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 297-302.
- 38. Iwamura, Y., et al., *Detection of anomalous elements*, *X-ray and excess heat induced by continuous diffusion of deuterium through multi-layer cathode (Pd/CaO/Pd)*. Infinite Energy, 1998. **4**(20): p. 56.
- 39. Liu, B., et al. "Excess heat" in a gas-loaded D/Pd system with pumping inside palladium tube. in 8th International Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen/Deuterium Loaded Metals. 2007. Catania, Sicily, Italy: The International Society for Condensed Matter Science. p. 204.
- 40. Biberian, J.-P. and N. Armanet. *Excess heat production during diffusion of deuterium through palladium tubes*. in 8th International Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen/Deuterium Loaded Metals. 2007. Catania, Sicily, Italy: The International Society for Condensed Matter Science. p. 19.
- 41. Preparata, G. *Fractofusion revisted*. in *Anomalous Nuclear Effects in Deuterium/Solid Systems*, "*AIP Conference Proceedings 228*". 1990. Brigham Young Univ., Provo, UT: American Institute of Physics, New York. p. 840.
- 42. Lipson, A.G., V.A. Kuznetsov, and B.V. Deryagin, *Scenarios of 'cold nuclear fusion' by concentration of elastic energy in crystals*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR Fiz. Khim., 1991. **318**(3): p. 636 (in Russian).
- 43. Yasui, K., Fractofusion mechanism. Fusion Technol., 1992. 22: p. 400.
- 44. Jabon, V.D.D., G.V. Fedorovich, and N.V. Samsonenko, *Catalitically induced d-d fusion in ferroelectrics*. Braz. J. Phys., 1997. **27**: p. 515.
- 45. Czerski, K., et al., *The 2H(d,p)3H reaction in metallic media at very low energies*. Europhys. Lett., 2004. **68**: p. 363.
- 46. Kasagi, J. Screening potential for nuclear reactions in condensed matter. in 14th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2008. Washington, DC: <u>www.LENR.org</u>. p. 318-325.

- 47. Kitamura, A., et al. *D*(*d*,*p*)*t* reaction rate enhancement in a mixed layer of Au and Pd. in *Tenth International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2003. Cambridge, MA: World Scientific Publishing Co. p. 623.
- 48. Hagelstein, P.I. and I. Chaudhary. *Arguments for dideuterium near monovacancies in PdD*. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter *Nuclear Science*. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 282-287.
- 49. Flanagan, T.B., et al., *The effect of lattice defects on hydrogen solubility in palladium, I. Experimentally observed solubility enhancements and thermodynamics of absorption.* J. Less-Common Met., 1979. **49**: p. 13.
- 50. McKubre, M.C. and F. Tanzella. Using resistivity to measure H/Pd and D/Pd loading: Method and significance. in Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, ICCF-12. 2005. Yokohama, Japan: World Scientific. p. 392.
- 51. Norlander, P., et al., *Multiple deuterium occupancy of vacancies in Pd and related metals*. Phys. Rev. B, 1989. **40**: p. 1990.
- Kozima, H. and S. Watanabe. Nuclear processes in trapped neutron catalyzed model for cold fusion. in 5th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 1995. Monte-Carlo, Monaco: IMRA Europe, Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France. p. 347.
- 53. Fisher, J.C., *Polyneutrons as agents for cold nuclear reactions*. Fusion Technol., 1992. **22**: p. 511.
- 54. Oriani, R.A., *Anomalous heavy atomic masses produced by electrolysis*. Fusion Technol., 1998. **34**: p. 76.
- 55. Widom, A. and L. Larsen, *Ultra low momentum neutron catalyzed nuclear reactions on metallic hydride surfaces*. Eur. Phys. J., 2006. **C46**: p. 107.
- 56. Daddi, L., *Proton-electron reactions as precursors of anomalous nuclear events*. Fusion Technol., 2001. **39**: p. 249.
- 57. Chatterjee, L., *Electrolysis in thin-film nickel coatings: mimicking supernova physics?* Fusion Technol., 1998. **34**: p. 147.
- 58. Das, D. and M.K.S. Ray, *Fusion in condensed matter a likely scenario*. Fusion Technol., 1993. **24**: p. 115.
- 59. Moon, D., *Review of a cold fusion theory: Mechanisms of a disobedient science*. Infinite Energy, 1999. **5**(28): p. 33.
- 60. Phipps Jr., T.E., *Neutron formation by electron penetration of the nucleus*. Infinite Energy, 1999. **5**(26): p. 58.
- 61. Conte, E. and M. Pieralice, *An experiment indicates the nuclear fusion of a proton and electron into a neutron*. Infinite Energy, 1999. **4**(23): p. 67.
- 62. Mills, R.L. and W.R. Good, *Fractional quantum energy levels of hydrogen*. Fusion Technol., 1995. **28**: p. 1697.
- 63. Dufour, J., et al. *The Hydrex concept-effect on heavy nuclei*. in *8th International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 431.
- 64. Dufour, J.J., J.H. Foos, and X.J.C. Dufour, *Formation and properties of hydrex and deutex*. Infinite Energy, 1998. **4**(20): p. 53.
- 65. Liu, F.S., *The phonon mechanism of the cold fusion*. Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 1996. **10**: p. 1129.

- 66. Hagelstein, P.L. *Phonon-exchange models: Some new results*. in *11th International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2004. Marseilles, France: World Scientific Co. p. 743.
- 67. Violante, V. and A. De Ninno. *Quantum mechanical description of a lattice ion trap.* in *Sixth International Conference on Cold Fusion, Progress in New Hydrogen Energy.* 1996. Lake Toya, Hokkaido, Japan: New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. p. 221.
- 68. Kalman, P. and T. Keszthelyi, *Attractive d–d interaction via phonon exchange in deuterated Pd*. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B, 2005. **240**: p. 781-789.
- 69. Kucherov, Y. Slow nuclear excitation model. in Sixth International Conference on Cold Fusion, Progress in New Hydrogen Energy. 1996. Lake Toya, Hokkaido, Japan: New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. p. 502.
- 70. Chubb, S.R. and T.A. Chubb. *Theoretical framework for anomalous heat and* ⁴*He in transition metal systems*. in *8th International Conference on Cold Fusion*.
 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 385.
- 71. Bazhutov, Y.N. Erzion discovery in cosmic rays and its possible great role in nature in framework of Erzion model of cold nuclear transmutation. in 8th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 269.
- 72. Matsumoto, T., '*Nattoh' model for cold fusion*. Fusion Technol., 1989. 16: p. 532.
- 73. McKibben, J.L., Evidence for three primordially created particles and can one of them catalyze cold fusion? 1989.
- 74. Rafelski, J., et al., *Nuclear reactions catalyzed by a massive negatively charged particle. How Cold Fusion Can Be Catalyzed*. Fusion Technol., 1990. **18**: p. 136.
- 75. Shoulders, K., *Projectiles from the dark side*. Infinite Energy, 2006. **12**(70): p. 39-40.
- 76. Preparata, G. *Theoretical ideas on cold fusion*. in *The First Annual Conference on Cold Fusion*. 1990. University of Utah Research Park, Salt Lake City, Utah: National Cold Fusion Institute. p. 91.
- 77. Fleischmann, M., S. Pons, and G. Preparata, *Possible theories of cold fusion*. Nuovo Cimento, 1994. **107A**(1): p. 143-156.
- 78. Capek, V., *Tunnelling efficiency and the problem of cold fusion*. Czech. J. Phys., 1989. **B39**: p. 793.
- 79. Turner, L., *Thoughts unbottled by cold fusion*. Phys. Today, 1989. Sept.: p. 140.
- 80. Parmenter, R.H., *A possible scenario for the onset of cold fusion in deuterated metals*. Infinite Energy, 1998. **4**(21): p. 41.
- 81. Fulvio, F. *Theoretical comparison between semi-classic and quantum tunneling effect any application coherence theory on the tumor*. in *Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, ICCF-12*. 2005. Yokohama, Japan: World Scientific. p. 494.
- 82. Feng, S., Enhancement of cold fusion rate by electron polarization in palladium deuterium solid. Solid State Commun., 1989. **72**: p. 205.
- 83. Li, X.Z., C.X. Li, and H.F. Huang, *Maximum value of the resonant tunneling current through the Coulomb barrier*. Fusion Technol., 1999. **36**: p. 324.

- 84. Hora, H., G. Miley, and J. Kelly, *Low-energy nuclear reactions of protons in host metals at picometer distance*. Trans. Am. Nucl. Soc., 2000. **83**: p. 357.
- 85. Hora, H., et al., *Proton-metal reactions in thin films with Boltzmann distribution similar to nuclear astrophysics*. Fusion Technol., 1999. **36**: p. 331.
- 86. Hora, H., et al. Shrinking of hydrogen atoms in host metals by dielectric effects and Inglis-Teller depression of ionization potentials. in The 9th International Conference on Cold Fusion, Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2002. Tsinghua Univ., Beijing, China: Tsinghua Univ. Press. p. 135.
- Miley, G.H., H. Hora, and Y. X. Condensed Matter "Cluster" Reactions in LENRs. in ICCF-14 International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2008. Washington, DC.: <u>http://lenr-</u> canr.org/acrobat/MileyGHcondensedm.pdf. p. 451-457.
- 88. Miley, G.H. Emerging physics for a breakthrough thin-film electrolytic power unit. in Space Technol. Applic. Int. Forum. 1999. p. 1227.
- Miley, G., et al. Cluster reactions in low energy nuclear reactions (LENR). in 8th International Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen/Deuterium Loaded Metals. 2007. Catania, Sicily, Italy: The International Society for Condensed Matter Science. p. 235-251.
- 90. Badiei, S., P.U. Andersson, and L. Holmlid, *Laser-induced variable pulse-power TOF-MS and neutral time-of-flight studies of ultradense deuterium*. Phys. Scr., 2010. **81**(4).
- 91. Miley, G., X. Yang, and H. Hora, *Ultra-High Density Deuteron-cluster Electrode* for Low-energy Nuclear Reactions. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2011. 4: p. 256-268.
- 92. Takahashi, A., et al., Emission of 2.45 MeV and higher energy neutrons from D₂O-Pd cell under biased-pulse electrolysis. J. Nucl. Sci. Technol., 1990. 27: p. 663.
- 93. Isobe, Y., et al. Search for coherent deuteron fusion by beam and electrolysis experiments. in 8th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 17-22.
- 94. Iwamura, Y., et al., Observation of Low Energy Nuclear Transmutation Reactions Induced by Deuterium Permeation through Multilayer Pd and CaO thin Film. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2011. 4: p. 132-144.
- 95. Takahashi, A. Tetrahedral and octahedral resonance fusion under transient condensation of deuterons at lattice focal points. in ICCF9, Ninth International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2002. Beijing, China: Tsinghua University: Tsinghua Univ., China. p. 343.
- 96. Hagelstein, P.I., On the connection between Kα X-rays and energetic alpha particles in Fleischmann–Pons experiments. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2010. 3: p. 50-58.
- 97. Kim, H.Y. Bose-Einstein Condensation Nuclear Fusion: Theoretical Predictions and Experimental Tests. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 288-296.
- 98. Kim, Y.E., *Bose–Einstein Condensate Theory of Deuteron Fusion in Metal.* J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2011. **4**: p. 188-201.

- Cornell, E.A. and C.E. Wieman, *The Bose-Einstein Condensate*. Sci. Am., 1998.
 278(3): p. 40-45.
- Zwierlein, M.W., et al., Observation of Bose–Einstein Condensation of Molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett., 2003. 91: p. 250401.
- 101. Piantelli, F., *Energy generation and generator by means of anharmonic stimulated fusion*. 2010: World Property Organization.
- 102. Campari, E.G., et al. Nuclear reactions in Ni-H systems. in 6th International Workship on Anomalies in Hydrogen/Deuterium Loaded Metals. 2005. Siena, Italy. p.
- 103. Campari, E.G., et al. Surface analysis of hydrogen-loaded nickel alloys. in 11th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2004. Marseilles, France: World Scientific Co. p. 414.
- Campari, E.G., et al. Photon and particle emission, heat production and surface transformation in Ni-H system. in 11th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2004. Marseilles, France: World Scientific Co. p. 405.
- 105. Focardi, S., et al. *Evidence of electromagnetic radiation from Ni-H systems*. in *11th International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2004. Marseilles, France: World Scientific Co. p. 70.
- 106. Campari, E.G., et al. Overview of H-Ni systems: Old experiments and new setup. in 5th Asti Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen / Deuterium loaded Metals. 2004. Asti, Italy. p.
- 107. Campari, E.G., et al. Ni-H systems. in 8th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 69.
- 108. Battaglia, A., et al., *Neutron emission in Ni-H systems*. Nuovo Cimento, 1999.
 112 A: p. 921.
- 109. Focardi, S., et al., *Large excess heat production in Ni-H systems*. Nuovo Cimento, 1998. **111A**(11): p. 1233.
- 110. Focardi, S., et al. On the Ni-H system. in Asti Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen/Deuterium Loaded Metals. 1997. Villa Riccardi, Italy: Societa Italiana Di Fisica. p. 35.
- 111. Focardi, S., R. Habel, and F. Piantelli, *Anomalous heat production in Ni-H systems*. Nuovo Cimento, 1994. **107A**: p. 163.
- 112. Rossi, A., *Journal of Nuclear Physics*. 2012, <u>http://www.journal-of-nuclear-physics.com/</u>.
- 113. Rossi, A., *Method and apparatus for carrying out nickel and hydrogen exothermal reaction*. 2011: USA.
- 114. Badiei, S., P.U. Andersson, and L. Holmlid, *Fusion reactions in high-density hydrogen: A fast route to small-scale fusion?* International J. Hydrogen Energy, 2009. **34**(1): p. 487.
- 115. Badiei, S., P.U. Andersson, and L. Holmlid, *Production of ultradense deuterium: A compact future fusion fuel*. Appl. Phys. Lett., 2010. **96**: p. 124103.
- 116. Arachi, Y., et al., *Structural analysis of nano-sized-Pd/ZrO2 composite after H(D) absorption*. Solid State Ionics, 2006. **177**: p. 1861.
- 117. Takahashi, A., et al. Deuterium Gas Charging Experiments with Pd Powders for Excess Heat Evolution (II). Discussions on Experimental Results and Underlying

Physics. in *The 9th Meeting of Japan CF-Research Society*. 2009. Shizuoka, Japan: www.lenr.org. p.

- Celani, F., et al. High temperature deuterium absorption in palladium nanoparticles. in International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science, ICCF-13. 2007. Sochi, Russia: Tsiolkovsky Moscow Technical University. p. 181.
- 119. Mansoori, G.A., P.L. Barros de Araujo, and E. Silvano de Araujo, *Diamondoid molecules*. 2012: World Scientific, Impertial College Press.
- 120. Dmitriyeva, O., et al. Deuterium & hydrogen loading into nano-Pd on zeoliteand alumina matrices at low pressures. in ICCF-16. 2011. Chenni, India. p.
- 121. Frisone, F., *Theoretical model of the probability of fusion between deuterons within deformed crystalline lattices with microcracks at room temperature.* Fusion Sci. & Technol., 2001. **40**: p. 139.
- 122. McIntyre, R. *Proposal for an experiment designed to seek evidence for cold fusion*. in *Tenth International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 2003. Cambridge, MA: World Scientific Publishing Co. p. 611.
- 123. Liaw, B.Y., et al., *Elevated-temperature excess heat production in a Pd + D system*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1991. **319**: p. 161.
- 124. Harris, P.J.F., *Carbon nanotube science*. 2009, New York: Cambridege Univ. Press. 301.
- 125. Mills, R., *The grand unified theory of classical quantum mechanics*. 2006, Ephrata, PA: Cadmus Professional Communications. 1450.
- 126. Frisone, F. *Probability of deuteron plasmon fusion at room temperature within microcracks of crystalline lattices with deuterium loading*. in 8th International Conference on Cold Fusion. 2000. Lerici (La Spezia), Italy: Italian Physical Society, Bologna, Italy. p. 443.
- 127. Bockris, J.O.M. and P.K. Subramanyan, *The equivalent pressure of molecular hydrogen in cavities within metals in terms of the overpotential developed during the evolution of hydrogen*. Electrochim. Acta, 1971. **16**: p. 2169.
- 128. Vigier, J.P. New hydrogen energies in specially structured dense media: capillary chemistry and capillary fusion. in Third International Conference on Cold Fusion, "Frontiers of Cold Fusion". 1992. Nagoya Japan: Universal Academy Press, Inc., Tokyo, Japan. p. 325.
- 129. Chien, C.-C., et al., *On an electrode producing massive quantities of tritium and helium*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1992. **338**: p. 189-212.
- 130. Schwinger, J., Cold fusion: a hypothesis. Z. Naturforsch., 1990. 45A: p. 756.
- Mosier-Boss, P.A., et al., Comparison of Pd/D co-deposition and DT neutron generated triple tracks observed in CR-39 detectors. Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys., 2010. 51(2): p. 20901-20911.
- 132. Swartz, M.R. and G. Verner, *Bremsstrahlung in hot and cold fusion*. J. New Energy, 1999. **3**(4): p. 90-101.
- 133. Sinha, K.P. and A. Meulenberg, *Lochon-mediated low-energy nuclear reactions*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. **6**: p. 55-63.
- 134. Kim, Y.E. and T.E. Ward, *Bose–Einstein condensation nuclear fusion: Role of monopole transition.* J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. **6**: p. 101-107.

- Storms, E.K., A study of those properties of palladium that influence excess energy production by the Pons-Fleischmann effect. Infinite Energy, 1996. 2(8): p. 50.
- Bockris, J.O.M., D. Hodko, and Z. Minevski, *Fugacity of hydrogen isotopes in metals: degradation, cracking and cold fusion*. Proc. Electrochem. Soc, 1992.
 1992: p. 92.
- 137. Kopecek, R. and J. Dash, *Excess heat and unexpected elements from electrolysis* of heavy water with titanium cathodes. J. New Energy, 1996. **1**(3): p. 46.
- 138. Klopfenstein, M.F. and J. Dash. *Thermal imaging during electrolysis of heavy water with a Ti cathode*. in *The Seventh International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 1998. Vancouver, Canada: Eneco Inc. p. 98.
- 139. Dash, J. and Q. Wang. Anomalous Silver on the Cathode Surface after Aqueous Electrolysis. in 15th International Conference on Condensed Matter Nuclear Science. 2009. Rome, Italy: ENEA, Italy. p. 38-41.
- 140. Bashkirov, Y.A., et al., *Observation of neutron emission from electrolysis of heavy water*. Pis'ma Zh. Tekh. Fiz., 1990. **16**(19): p. 51 (in Russian).
- 141. Lipson, A.G., et al., *Reproducible neutron emission by the combined effect of cavitation and electrolysis at the surface of a titanium cathode in electrolyte based on heavy water*. Pis'ma Zh. Teor. Fiz., 1991. **17**(21): p. 33 (in Russian).
- 142. Izumida, T., et al., A search for neutron emission from cold nuclear fusion in a titanium-deuterium system. Fusion Technol., 1990. **18**: p. 641.
- 143. Jianyu, H., et al. Experimental study on anomalous neutron production in deuterium/solid system. in Anomalous Nuclear Effects in Deuterium/Solid Systems, "AIP Conference Proceedings 228". 1990. Brigham Young Univ., Provo, UT: American Institute of Physics, New York. p. 193.
- 144. Bruschi, L., et al., *Search for neutron emission from a deuterium-titanium system*". Europhys. Lett., 1989. **10**(4): p. 303.
- 145. Mizuno, T., et al. Anomalous heat evolution from SrCeO₃-type proton conductors during absorption/desorption in alternate electric field. in Fourth International Conference on Cold Fusion. 1993. Lahaina, Maui: Electric Power Research Institute 3412 Hillview Ave., Palo Alto, CA 94304. p. 14.
- Biberian, J.-P., et al. *Electrolysis of LaAlO₃ single crystals and ceramics in a deuteriated atmosphere*. in *The Seventh International Conference on Cold Fusion*. 1998. Vancouver, Canada: ENECO, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT. p. 27.
- 147. Oriani, R.A., *An investigation of anomalous thermal power generation from a proton-conducting oxide*. Fusion Technol., 1996. **30**: p. 281.
- 148. Patterson, J.A., *Method for electrolysis of water to form metal hydride*. 1994: US Patent # 5,318,675.
- 149. Miley, G.H., et al. Quantitative observations of transmutation products occuring in thin-film coated microspheres during electrolysis. in Sixth International Conference on Cold Fusion, Progress in New Hydrogen Energy. 1996. Lake Toya, Hokkaido, Japan: New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo, Japan. p. 629.
- 150. Celani, F., et al., *Development of a high temperature hybrid CMNS reactor*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. **6**: p. 24-33.

- 151. Stringham, R., *When bubble cavitation becomes sonofusion*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. **6**: p. 1-12.
- 152. Szpak, S., P.A. Mosier-Boss, and J.J. Smith, *On the behavior of Pd deposited in the presence of evolving deuterium*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1991. **302**: p. 255.
- 153. Gozzi, D., et al., *Erratum to "X-ray, heat excess and ⁴He in the D/Pd system"*. J. Electroanal. Chem., 1998. **452**: p. 251-271.
- 154. Karabut, A. Research into powerful solid X-ray laser (wave length is 0.8-1.2 nm) with excitation of high current glow discharge ions. in 11th International Conf. on Emerging Nuclear Energy Syst. 2002. Albuquerque, NM. p. 374.
- 155. Karabut, A.B., E.A. Karabut, and P.I. Hagelstein, *Spectral and Temporal Characteristics of X-ray Emission from Metal Electrodes in a High-current Glow Discharge*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. **6**: p. 217-240.
- 156. Khajavikhan, M. and Y. Fainman, *Thresholdless Nanoscale Coaxial Lasers*. Nature, 2012.
- 157. Iwamura, Y., M. Sakano, and T. Itoh, *Elemental analysis of Pd complexes: effects of D₂ gas permeation*. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. A, 2002. **41**(7): p. 4642-4650.
- 158. Miley, G.H. and J.A. Patterson, *Nuclear transmutations in thin-film nickel coatings undergoing electrolysis*. J. New Energy, 1996. **1**(3): p. 5.
- 159. Biberian, J.-P., *Biological Transmutations: Historical Perspective*. J. Cond. Matter Nucl. Sci., 2012. 7: p. 11-15.